

Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos I.

Assignment 5

Florian Mahlknecht

2019-12-05

1 Hamiltonian System

Given the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{g}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial y} + f_1(x, y) \\ -\frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial x} + f_2(x, y) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where H is twice continuously differentiable and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} = \nabla \cdot (f_1, f_2) \neq 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

We can show that in *any* simply connected domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial H(x, y)}{\partial x} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} \neq 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in U$$

Indeed this is true for the entire domain \mathbb{R}^2 . We just used the general property of multi variable *differentiable* functions, guaranteeing that the differentiation order can be exchanged.

We can apply now Bendixson Criterion and conclude that there does not exist *any* limit cycle in \mathbb{R}^2 for the system given in eq. (1).

□ *q.e.d.*

2 Accuracy of Averaging

Given the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \varepsilon \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t, \varepsilon) \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2)$$

and its transformed system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}) \\ \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3)$$

with the averaged system being $\bar{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, t, 0)$, where T is the period of the smooth periodic function \mathbf{f} .

By integrating the right hand side of eqs. (2) and (3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}_0 &= \varepsilon \int_0^t \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon) d\tau \\ \mathbf{y}(t) - (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)) &= \varepsilon \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}(\tau)) d\tau\end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference of the two equations and putting the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ term on the other side yields:

$$\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t) = \varepsilon \int_0^t (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon) - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}(\tau))) d\tau + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad (4)$$

In general we may write the function \mathbf{f} as the sum of an oscillatory part and an averaged part, i.e.:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \varepsilon) = \bar{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \varepsilon) \quad (5)$$

Substituting eq. (5) in eq. (4) while considering the absolute value, yields:

$$|\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| = \varepsilon \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon) + \bar{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau)) - \bar{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}(\tau))| d\tau + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

Which can then be upper estimated exploiting the fact that $\bar{\mathbf{f}}$ is Lipschitz and applying the triangle inequality:

$$|\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^t |\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon)| d\tau + \varepsilon L \int_0^t |\mathbf{x}(\tau) - \mathbf{y}(\tau)| d\tau + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad (6)$$

where $L > 0$ is the chosen Lipschitz constant.

Further investigating the oscillatory part provides an upper estimate:

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon)| \leq M, \quad M := \max \left\{ \left| \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon) \right|, \left| \inf_{\tau \in [0, T]} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \tau, \varepsilon) \right| \right\} \quad (7)$$

by simply exploiting the fact that $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ is a smooth, T -periodic function. Plugging eq. (7) into eq. (6) yields a further upper estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^t M d\tau + \varepsilon L \int_0^t |\mathbf{x}(\tau) - \mathbf{y}(\tau)| d\tau + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) = \\ &= \varepsilon M t + \varepsilon L \int_0^t |\mathbf{x}(\tau) - \mathbf{y}(\tau)| d\tau + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This inequality is now in the form of the generalized Gronwall's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}u(t) &\leq c(t) + \int_0^t u(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau \\ \Rightarrow u(t) &\leq c(0) e^{\int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau} + \int_0^t c'(\tau) e^{\int_\tau^t u(s) ds} d\tau\end{aligned}$$

with the following nonnegative functions:

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= |\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| \\ u(t) &= \varepsilon M t \\ c(t) &= \varepsilon L \quad \Rightarrow c(0) = 0, \quad c'(t) = \varepsilon M \end{aligned}$$

Applying those definitions to the Gronwall's inequality yields:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| &\leq \int_0^t \varepsilon M e^{\int_\tau^t \varepsilon L ds} d\tau = \\ &= \frac{M}{L} (e^{\varepsilon L t} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

For values of $t \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ this expression can be upper estimated again to the constant term $C = \frac{M}{L}(e^L - 1)$ which is how we are able to conclude:

$$|\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| \leq C + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad \forall t \leq 0 \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{9}$$

□ *q.e.d.*

3 Unsteady separation in time-periodic fluid flows

Consider the following time periodic fluid flow system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = u(x, y, t) & u(x, y, t) = u(x, y, t + T) \\ \dot{y} = v(x, y, t) & v(x, y, t) = v(x, y, t + T) \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

The following two assumptions are made:

$$u(x, 0, t) = v(x, 0, t) = 0 \quad \text{no slip on wall} \tag{11}$$

$$u_x + v_y = 0 \quad \text{incompressibility} \tag{12}$$

The no slip condition eq. (11) guarantees that we have fixed points along the wall in any point $\mathbf{p}_0 = (x_0, 0)$, which turn out to be non-hyperbolic, given that $\det J = u_x v_y - u_y u_x = -v_y^2 + v_y^2 = 0$, using the incompressibility condition eq. (12).

Given that in $y = 0$ the velocity fields evaluate both to 0, we may write¹:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, t) &= u(x, y, t) - u(x, 0, t) = u(x, sy, t)|_{s=0} = \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} u(x, sy, t) ds = \int_0^1 u_y(x, sy, t) y ds = y \int_0^1 u_y(x, sy, t) ds \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Equation (13) applies in a completely analogous fashion to v as well. This yields:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= y \int_0^1 u_y(x, sy, t) ds \\ \dot{y} &= y \int_0^1 v_y(x, sy, t) ds \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

¹Reusing the fact that u and v are differentiable

Furthermore, the no slip condition also forces the derivative of the velocity along x in the wall to be zero, meaning $u_x(x, 0, t) = 0$. From the incompressibility condition eq. (12) it follows that $v_y(x, 0, t) = 0$. With this property we can proceed in an analogous fashion to eq. (13):

$$\begin{aligned} v_y(x, sy, t) &= v_y(x, sy, t) - v_y(x, 0, t) = v_y(x, psy, t) \Big|_{p=0}^1 = \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dp} v_y(x, psy, t) dp = \int_0^1 v_{yy}(x, psy, t) sy dp = y \int_0^1 v_{yy}(x, psy, t) s dp \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This yields:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= y \int_0^1 u_y(x, sy, t) ds \\ \dot{y} &= y^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v_{yy}(x, spy, t) p dp ds \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

To scaling the equations to a region near the wall we set $y = \varepsilon \eta$, which yields:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= \varepsilon \eta \int_0^1 u_y(x, s\varepsilon\eta, t) ds \\ \dot{\eta} &= \varepsilon \eta^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v_{yy}(x, sp\varepsilon\eta, t) p dp ds \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Since we are interested in the case where $0 \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$, eq. (17) is in a suitable form for *averaging*. From the lecture together with the proof provided in section 2, we know that a coordinate transformation exists involving the oscillatory part (see eq. (5) for reference), for which solutions of the averaged system remain ε close for $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ time. The averaged system is thereby calculated over one period T with $\varepsilon = 0$, which allows us to solve the integrals by placing the now independent part outside, and solving the definite integrals yielding simply 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ respectively. In this way we get, by emphasizing the fact of having averaged equations now with a bar on the differential equation variables:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\bar{x}} &= \varepsilon \int_0^T \bar{\eta} u_y(\bar{x}, 0, t') dt' \\ \dot{\bar{\eta}} &= \varepsilon \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}^2 v_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, t') dt' \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

In this way, the right hand side of eq. (18) becomes *independent* of time, i.e. we now have an autonomous system. To simplify the equations we rescale time on the averaging integrals to be:

$$\eta(t) = \frac{d\tau}{dt} \quad (19)$$

and reformulate the equations in the new time τ :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\bar{x}}' &= \varepsilon \int_0^{T'} u_y(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' \\ \dot{\bar{\eta}}' &= \varepsilon \int_0^{T'} \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}' v_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The Jacobian of this system can be shown to be:

$$J(x, \eta) \Big|_{(x, \eta) = (x_0, 0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \int_0^{T'} u_{yx}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \int_0^{T'} \frac{1}{2} \bar{v}_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Deriving the incompressibility condition from eq. (12) in y direction, we can transform this into:

$$J(x, \eta) \Big|_{(x, \eta) = (x_0, 0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon \int_0^{T'} v_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \int_0^{T'} \frac{1}{2} \bar{v}_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

Which directly yields the two eigenvalues $-\lambda$, $+\frac{\lambda}{2}$. So in order for the fixed point to have an unstable manifold, we require $\frac{\lambda}{2} > 0$, i.e.:

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{T'} \frac{1}{2} \bar{v}_{yy}(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' > 0 \quad (23)$$

Furthermore we impose to have a fixed point in eq. (20):

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{T'} u_y(\bar{x}, 0, \tau') d\tau' = 0 \quad (24)$$

Thanks to the averaging theorem, eqs. (23) and (24) can be related to the original system. Transforming them back in the originally scaled time and to the global system in y , i.e. without ε vicinity to the wall, we finally get:

$$\int_0^T u_y(x, 0, t) dt = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\int_0^T v_{yy}(x, 0, t) dt > 0 \quad (26)$$

□ *q.e.d.*